

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/776,630	02/09/2004	Fathy Yassa	1745	
7590 11 <i>1</i> 27/2006			EXAMINER	
Fathy Yassa			SOBUTKA, PHILIP	
4439 Esta Lane Soquel, CA 95073			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
		DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/776,630	YASSA, FATHY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip J. Sobutka	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
_	-· action is non-final.					
· 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	olosilon requirement.					
_	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
. Spo. Holofinian Bato	, On L. Outer					

Application/Control Number: 10/776,630 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. the claim recites the storage device not being connected to a personal computer, however the elements of claim 1 describe a PC.

Note that the claim has been interpreted to mean that the storage device is not connected to an additional PC.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1,3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cupps (US 2002/0173344).

Application/Control Number: 10/776,630 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 1. Cupps teaches a wireless devices comprising a microprocessor (figure 3, item 302),

ROM (figure 3, item 327),

RAM (figure 3, items 310),

a mass storage device (figure 3, item 325),

a display unit with sufficient resolution to display multi-media (figure 3, item 307), software (paragraphs 33,34,54-58),

a module for entering keyed commands (figure 3item 309), and

one or more wireless modules configured to communicate with non-internet based wireless devices (figure 3, items 371).

As to claim 3, (Note, see rejection under 112 above) Cupps teaches the device of claim 1, where the mass storage device is not connected to a personal computer (Note that the claim has been interpreted as meaning that the storage device is not connected to an additional PC, since the items of claim 1 clearly define a PC, therefore Cupps does not teach the need of an additional PC).

As to claim 4, Cupps teaches the device of claim 1, where the mass storage device is not connected to a wide area global network (note that the device of Cupps could function even if it were not connected to a wireless network, since use with a wide area global network is only one embodiment as described in paragraph 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2618

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention date computer device s of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2,5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps in view of Yeh (US 2004/0204053).

Consider claim 2, Cupps as applied to claim 1, lacks a teaching of where the mass storage device is not physically connected to the device.

Yeh teaches a storage device which is wirelessly connected to a computer device in order to eliminate the limitations of using cables (Yeh see paragraphs 5-8). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cupps to have the storage device connected wirelessly in order to eliminate the limitations of cables as taught by Yeh. Note that a wireless connection would mean the device is not physically connected to the storage.

Consider claim 5. Cupps as applied to claim 1, lacks a teaching of where the mass storage device can send and receive information wirelessly.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,630

Art Unit: 2618

Yeh teaches a storage device which is wirelessly connected to a computer device in order to eliminate the limitations of using cables (Yeh see paragraphs 5-8). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cupps to have the storage device connected wirelessly in order to eliminate the limitations of cables as taught by Yeh.

As to claim 7, Cups teaches the device as applied to claim 5, where one wireless module includes wi-fi (Cupps see figure 3, item 371).

As to claim 8, Cupps teaches the device as applied to claim 5, where at least one wireless module is a traditional wireless module (Cupps see figure 3, item 371).

9. Claims 6,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps in view of Yeh and further in view of Kruys (US 2002/0181434).

Consider claim 6, Cupps in view of Yeh as applied to claim 5 lacks a teaches of where the mass storage device has one wireless module for data and another for commands.

Kruys teaches that it is advantageous to send control commands over a separate Wi-Fi channel in order to eliminate concerns about bandwidth efficiency (Kruys see paragraphs 29, 48). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cupps in view of Yeh to have separate control and data channels in order to eliminate concerns about bandwidth efficiency as taught by Kruuys.

As to claim 9, note that Cupps in view of Yeh and in view of Kruys can operate on two separate wi-fi channels as shown above.

Art Unit: 2618

As to claim 10, the device of Cupps in view of Yeh and in view of Kruys could receive data on one wi-fi channel and send it to another wi-fi device using a second wi-fi channel as shown above.

As to claim 11, note that Cupps would translate the data into a form useable by the mass storage device (Cupps see paragraphs 51-53, 61).

Conclusion

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip J Sobutka whose telephone number is 571-272-
- 7887. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4711.

11. The central fax phone number for the Office is 571-273-8300.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2618

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHILIP J. SOBUTKA

Philip J Sobutka

(571) 272-7887